

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 06/11/2001 ZTP 98 P 3021 09/878,665 Ulrich Deiss 2035 7590 09/26/2003 LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. **EXAMINER POST OFFICE BOX 2480** TRAN, KHOA H - . HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3634

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. , 8.	Application No. Applicant(s)		·
Advisory Action	09/878,665	DEISS, ULRICH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khoa Tran	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension			
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		· DP H	40
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-8,17-20 and 22-24</u> .	( )	miel P Ste	dola
Claim(s) objected to:	•	DANIEL P. STODOLA	١
Claim(s) rejected: 9,16 and 25.		PERVISORY PATENT EXA	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		rechnology center :	
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			





Continuation of 10. Other: The amendment filed on August 21, 2003 is considered non-compliant because it has not been submitted in the format required under 37 CFR 1.121, as amended on January 31, 2003.